

Cherwell District Council

Planning Committee

4 September 2014

Decisions Subject to Various Requirements - Progress Report

Report of Head of Development Management

This report is public

Purpose of report

This report aims to keep members informed upon applications which they have authorised decisions upon to various requirements which must be complied with prior to the issue of decisions.

An update on any changes since the preparation of the report will be given at the meeting.

1.0 Recommendations

The meeting is recommended:

- 1.1 To accept the position statement.

2.0 Report Details

The following applications remain outstanding for the reasons stated:

10/00640/F (re-affirmed 24.5.12)	Former USAF housing South of Camp Road, Upper Heyford Subject to legal agreement concerning on and off site infrastructure and affordable housing. May be withdrawn following completion of negotiations on 10/01642/OUT
11/01494/OUT (13.6.13)	Site C Ploughley Road, Upper Arcott & Site D & E Ambrosden Road, MOD Bicester Subject to legal agreement and departure procedures

12/01209/F (3.1.13)	Site of Tesco, Pingle Drive, Bicester Sec of State decided not to call-in application. Legal agreement re off-site infrastructure
12/01789/OUT (13.6.13)	Land off Warwick Road, North of Hanwell Fields, Banbury Not called in. Subject to legal agreement
13/00330/OUT (6.3.14)	81-89 Cassington Road Yarnton Subject to legal agreement
13/00433/OUT (11.7.13)	Land at Whitelands Farm, Middleton Stoney Road, Bicester Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure
13/00444/OUT (11.7.13)	Land west of Edinburgh Way, Banbury Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure
13/00847/OUT (7.8.14)	Phase 2 SW Bicester Subject to legal agreement re infrastructure contributions
13/01576/OUT (6.1.14)	Tally Ho Inn, Ploughley Road, Arncott Subject to legal agreement re off-site provision of affordable housing and infrastructure
13/01643/F (6.3.14)	Land between The Leys and North Bar Place, Banbury Subject to legal agreement
13/01372/CDC (6.2.14 and 24.4.14)	Land rear of Methodist Church, The Fairway, Banbury Subject to legal agreement re affordable housing

13/01528/OUT (6.2.14)	Lane NE of Crouch Hill, Banbury Subject to legal agreement re off-site infrastructure and affordable housing
13/01601/OUT (6.2.14) and (7.8.14)	Land adj. Spiceball Park Road, Banbury Revised proposal received late May 2014 – reconsultation and return to Committee) Subject to reference to Sec. of State and legal agreement re off-site infrastructure contributions following discussions with OCC re highways and parking
13/01652/F (6.2.14)	18B Wildmere Road, Banbury Subject to legal agreement re off-site infrastructure contributions
13/01682/F (6.2.14)	Land rear of 33-59 Oxford Road, Bodicote Subject to amendment to existing legal agreement
13/01880/CDC (6.2.14 and 24.4.14)	Lincoln House, Lincoln Close, Banbury Subject to legal agreement re affordable housing
13/01796/OUT (6.3.14)	Land N of Oak View, Weston on the Green Subject to legal agreement
13/01811/OUT	Land at Dow Street, Heyford Park, Upper Heyford Subject to legal agreement with CDC/OCC
13/01947/F (3.4.14 and 24.4.14)	Land at 4 The Rookery Subject to legal agreement with CDC/OCC re affordable housing and off site contributions
13/01948/F (27.3.14)	Banbury Gateway, Acorn Road, Banbury Will not be called in by Sec of State Subject to variation of previous legal agreement

14/00250/F (19.6.14)	Land N of Milton Road, Adderbury Subject to legal agreement
14/00403/F (19.6.14)	Franklins Yard, Bicester Subject to legal agreement
14/00080/F and 14/00695/F	North Oxfordshire Academy Subject to legal agreement to tie together the implementation of these consents

3.0 Consultation

None

4.0 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below

Option 1: To accept the position statement

Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only

5.0 Implications

Financial and Resource Implications

The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.

Comments checked by:

Kate Drinkwater, Service Accountant, 01327 322188,
Kate.drinkwater@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

Legal Implications

There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.

Comments checked by:
Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687,
nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Risk Management

This is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks arising from accepting the recommendation.

Comments checked by:
Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687,
nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

6.0 Decision Information

Wards Affected

All

Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework

A district of opportunity

Lead Councillor

None

Document Information

Appendix No	Title
None	
Background Papers	
All papers attached to the planning applications files referred to in this report	
Report Author	Bob Duxbury, Development Control Team Leader
Contact Information	01295 221821 bob.duxbury@cherwell-dc.gov.uk